§ 776.5

contending sites, for such actions lies within a floodplain;

- (2) Incidental construction, such as construction of athletic fields, recreational facilities, sidewalks, and other minor alteration projects;
- (3) Construction at existing postal facilities pursuant to the Architectural Barriers Act or postal accessibility standards;
- (4) Any facility construction project deemed necessary to comply with federal, state, or local health, sanitary, or safety code standards to ensure safe working conditions;
- (5) Construction of facilities that are functionally dependent on water, such as piers, docks, or boat ramps;
- (6) Maintenance, repair, or renovation of existing facilities; or
- (7) Leasing or other use of space for not more than one year.

§ 776.5 Review procedures.

Officials shall follow the decision-making process outlined in paragraphs (a) through (f) of this section, when a facility action may involve floodplain issues. Under certain circumstances, this process may be carried out with fewer steps if all objectives of the decision-making process can be achieved. A general principle underlying this process is that a postal facility action requiring construction in a floodplain may be considered only when there is no practicable alternative.

- (a) Analysis of alternatives. If a postal facility action would involve construction in a floodplain, alternative actions shall be considered.
- (b) Early public notice. If a facility action at the contending site(s) could require construction in a floodplain, public notice must be provided.
- (c) Floodplain location and information. (1) Personnel shall determine whether construction would occur within a floodplain. The determination shall be made by reference to appropriate Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) floodplain maps (sometimes referred to as Floodplain Insurance Rate Maps (FIRM)), or Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) maps, or more detailed maps if available. If such maps are not available, floodplain location must be

determined based on the best available information.

- (2) Once the preferred site has been identified, potential floodplain impacts must be determined. As part of this determination process, specific floodplain information should be developed, which is to consider:
- (i) Whether the proposed action will directly or indirectly support flood-plain development;
- (ii) Flood hazard and risk to lives and property;
- (iii) Effects on natural and beneficial floodplain values, such as water quality maintenance, groundwater recharge, and agriculture; and
- (iv) Possible measures to minimize harm to, or impact on, the floodplain.
- (d) Reevaluation. After the above steps have been followed, if the determination is that there appears to be no practicable alternative to constructing in a floodplain, a further review of alternatives must be conducted by the facilities organization in conjunction with the operations organization requesting the construction of the facility. The further review of alternatives must be conducted by the operations organization for projects within the delegated authority of the Vice President, Area Operations.
- (e) Final public notice. As a result of the reevaluation, if it is determined that there is no practicable alternative to constructing in a floodplain, public notice shall be provided as soon as possible for the proposed action. The notice should be publicized and should include:
- (1) Identification of the project's location:
- (2) Provision for a 30-day public commenting period before irrevocable action is taken by the Postal Service; and
- (3) Name and complete address of a postal contact person responsible for providing further information on the decision to proceed with a facility action or construction project in a floodplain. Upon request, that person shall provide further information as follows:
- (i) A description of why the proposed action must be located in a floodplain:
- (ii) A listing of alternative actions considered in making the determination; and

- (iii) A statement indicating whether the action conforms to applicable state and local floodplain protection standards.
- (f) Distribution. The above public notice will be sent to appropriate officials, local newspapers, and other parties who express interest in the project.
- (g) NEPA coordination. If either an Environmental Impact Statement or an Environmental Assessment is required under the Postal Service's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations, the above review procedures must be incorporated into and evaluated in that document.

§ 776.6 Design requirements for construction.

If structures impact, are located in, or support development in a floodplain, construction must conform, at a minimum, to the standards and criteria of the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), except where those standards are demonstrably inappropriate for postal purposes.

§ 776.7 Lease, easement, right-of-way, or disposal of property to non-federal parties.

When postal property in floodplains is proposed for lease, easement, right-of-way, or disposal to non-federal public or private parties, the Postal Service shall:

- (a) Reference in the conveyance document that the parcel is located in a floodplain and may be restricted in use pursuant to federal, state, or local floodplain regulations; or
- (b) Withhold the property from conveyance.

Subpart C—Wetlands Protection

§ 776.8 Scope.

- (a) The regulations in this subpart are applicable to the following proposed postal facility actions located in a wetland:
- (1) New construction, owned or leased; or
- (2) Construction projects at an existing facility that would alter the external configuration of the facility.
- (b) These procedures are not applicable to the following postal facility actions:

- (1) Construction of foot and bike trails, or boardwalks, including signs, the primary purposes of which are public education, interpretation, or enjoyment of wetland resources:
- (2) Construction at existing postal facilities pursuant to the Architectural Barriers Act or postal accessibility standards;
- (3) Any facility construction project deemed necessary to comply with federal, state, or local health, sanitary, or safety code standards to ensure safe working conditions;
- (4) Construction of facilities that are functionally dependent on water, such as piers, docks, or boat ramps; or
- (5) Maintenance, repair, or renovation of existing facilities.

§ 776.9 Review procedures.

- (a) Early public notice. If a facility action at the contending site(s) could require construction in a wetland, public notice must be provided.
- (b) Finding of no practicable alternative. The Postal Service shall avoid construction located in a wetland unless it issues a finding of no practicable alternative. The facilities organization, in conjunction with the operations organization, or, for projects within the delegated authority of the Vice President, Area Operations, the operations organization, shall make a written determination that:
- (1) There is no practicable alternative to such construction; and
- (2) The proposed action includes all practicable measures to minimize harm to wetlands.
- (c) NEPA coordination. If either an Environmental Impact Statement or an Environmental Assessment is required under the Postal Service's National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) regulations, the above review procedures must be incorporated into and evaluated in that document.

§ 776.10 Lease, easement, right-of-way, or disposal of property to non-federal parties.

When postal-owned wetlands or portions of wetlands are proposed for lease, easement, right-of-way, or disposal to non-federal public or private parties, the Postal Service shall: